Why is circular suturing so difficult?
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Abstract

Suturing is a basic surgical skill that requires much training to achieve competency. Circular suturing is even more challenging, especially in minimally invasive surgery. In a radical prostatectomy procedure, circular suturing is performed to reconnect the bladder and urethra after the prostate has been removed. Task analysis of linear suturing and circular suturing, in laparoscopic and robot-assisted surgery, was performed and validated. Results revealed that circular suturing involves more motoric and perceptual constraints than linear suturing, requiring depth perception for proper alignment of two differently sized circular structures. Robotic surgical systems such as the da Vinci Surgical System can reduce some of these constraints by providing a stereoscopic view of the circular structures and increasing the manipulability of the needle and tissue, compared to the laparoscopic approach. These findings have implications for the design of training and assessment, as well as assistive tools to enhance the performance of circular suturing.

Background

In surgery, suturing is performed to close incisions or gaps in the anatomy when diseased tissue has been removed. Suturing is one of the most difficult basic technical skills in surgery (Ghazi & Joseph, 2018). It requires hand-eye coordination, dexterity and precision to place evenly spaced stitches with equal tension to achieve good approximation of tissue (Secin et al., 2006). In minimally invasive surgery such as laparoscopic surgery, intracorporeal suturing is even more difficult due to the limited degrees of freedom in manipulation and constrained space (Cao et al., 1996). In laparoscopic surgery, 4 or 5 small incisions are made in the abdomen into which the laparoscopic instruments are inserted. The tools are long and thin in order to fit into small incisions while still reaching the desired points inside the body. The insertion point creates a fulcrum effect which forces the surgeons to move their hands in the opposite direction they want the end-effector of the tool to move. This skill is non-intuitive and complicates the procedure for surgeons. The surgical site, provided by an endoscope which is also inserted into the abdomen through an incision, is displayed on monitors around the operating room for the surgical team. This 2D view of the surgical field makes it difficult to manoeuvre within a 3D space. Overall, these constraints can complicate many surgical tasks, especially intracorporeal suturing.
In certain cases, suturing may be required around circular anatomical structures. For example, in urology, after a radical prostatectomy (complete removal of the prostate) is performed to reduce the risk of cancer or to mitigate the spread of cancerous cells, the urethra and bladder neck are joined together with sutures in a process called the urethrovesical anastomosis. This anastomosis involves circular suturing and is considered to be the most difficult part of the entire operation (Ghazi & Joseph, 2018).

**Figure 1.** Illustration of four different stages of circular suturing in an urethrovesical anastomosis. As the surgeon progresses, the urethra (indicated by small white circle in A) and bladder neck (indicated by large white circle in A) are brought closer together (part B) and joined (part C) and secured (part D), thus completing the anastomosis.

The urethrovesical anastomosis involves the joining of the ends of two tubular structures – the urethra and the bladder (see Figure 1). This means that the surgeon must suture around the outside circumference of both tubes to ensure the tissues are securely connected while still allowing fluid to pass through the lumen of the tubes. As this method differs from the more common linear suture where the stitches are made across a straight line, a drastically different technique is needed. The intricacies of these different tasks are outlined in many surgical texts but are not explained in detail. Novice surgeons have to rely on guided training with expert surgeons in order to fully grasp the concepts and methods of circular suturing that make it so
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challenging. Not only is the task difficult to learn, it is also difficult to teach to novice surgeons, especially in the minimally invasive approach.

Surprisingly, the robotic surgical system da Vinci (Intuitive Surgical, Inc.; Figure 2) that had been struggling to demonstrate value in laparoscopic surgery provided the solution to this difficult urological procedure. In fact, the use of the da Vinci Surgical System in urological procedures increased from 8% in 2004 to 67% in 2010 and is now used in more than 70% of prostatectomy procedures (Voilette et al., 2015).

![Image](https://www.franciscanhealth.org/health-care-services/robotic-assisted-surgery-334)

Figure 2. The da Vinci Surgical System includes a control console where the surgeon is seated (left) and surgical instrument dock that is positioned over the patient (right). Image from: https://www.franciscanhealth.org/health-care-services/robotic-assisted-surgery-334

The robotic surgical system, da Vinci Surgical System, provides the surgeon with a stereoscopic view of the surgical field while being positioned in an ergonomic seat. The joysticks and pedals included on the control console allow the surgeon to control all of the tools connected to the surgical instrument dock quickly and easily.

Additionally, the joysticks allow the surgeon to control more intricate movements of the surgical instruments such as graspers and scissors. With the da Vinci, these tools have more degrees of freedom than traditional laparoscopic tools (Figure 3). The wrist-like joints on the da Vinci-compatible tools allow the surgeon to more easily manipulate tissue or other medical equipment such as sutures (Chellali et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the task of suturing, and in particular, circular suturing, in the minimally invasive environment remains challenging.
Nevertheless, the robotic system has not been able to completely nullify the difficulties inherent to the urethrovesical anastomosis, such as bimanual dexterity in instrument manipulation (Chen et al., 2018). While the da Vinci® has no doubt improved many aspects of minimally invasive surgery (Ballantyne, 2002), the urethrovesical anastomosis still proves to be a challenging task for many surgeons.

This study is the first step towards an understanding of the requirements and constraints in circular suturing for the purpose of surgical skills training, as well as for developing an objective assessment metric for circular suturing performance. Ultimately, an assistive tool may be developed to make explicit the requirements to augment the performance of novice and expert surgeons alike.

Materials and methods

Data collection

To gather initial information about circular suturing tasks, ten surgical texts and manuals were consulted and reviewed to learn the basic steps necessary to complete a urethrovesical anastomosis procedure (Croce & Olmi, 2000, Davis, 2016, Ghazi & Joseph, 2018, Hudgens, 2015, Johnson & Cadeddu, 2019, Joseph, 2008, Lierse, 1987, Secin et al. 2006, Sundaram et al., 2010, Yuh & Gin, 2018). Observation and recording of five robot-assisted radical prostatectomy surgeries procedures were completed at the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, supplemented by 12 videos of the same surgery found online from other hospitals and training programs. The live procedures ranged from 1.5 hours to 6 hours in duration. The online videos were a mix of laparoscopic or robot-assisted radical prostatectomies; each video averaged around two hours long. Surgeon consent was obtained for the operating room observation portion of the process. Visual recordings of the live observations were taken from the da Vinci® intraoperative camera; no patient data or audio were included in the recordings.
what makes circular suturing so difficult

Four expert surgeons were interviewed. All surgeons consented to being video recorded as they were interviewed. The interview consisted of three main portions: review of a pre-selected video, a structured interview, and reviewing the hierarchical task analysis diagrams. First, the surgeons were asked to observe a video of an expert completing an urethrovesical anastomosis and make comments throughout the video relating to technique and procedure (Mollo & Falzon, 2004). Next, the interviewer asked questions about certain aspects of the procedure and the surgeon’s past experiences with the procedure. Finally, the surgeons were asked to review the four task analyses and verify the content and sequence of steps.

Data analysis

A task analysis was performed following the procedure in Cao et al. (1996) and four hierarchical task analysis (HTA) diagrams (linear and circular suturing, and laparoscopic and robotic suturing) were constructed to match the techniques observed in the operating rooms. All HTA were validated by four expert surgeons.

A cognitive task analysis was performed by interviewing four expert surgeons at the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes in Nantes, France. The transcripts of each of the interviews was synthesized to extract common themes based on the language used. This information was organized and classified to supplement the HTA. By doing this, it became easier to address the specific differences in each of the tasks and which steps of the tasks were more difficult overall.

Results

Hierarchical Task Analysis

Figures 4-7 show the hierarchical decomposition of the four suturing tasks: laparoscopic linear suturing, laparoscopic circular suturing, robotic linear suturing, and robotic circular suturing. Comparing linear and circular suturing, the first sublevel of the task decomposition was similar; this sublevel contained six to seven steps. The only difference was between circular and linear suturing where two steps were needed to penetrate the tissue since there are two distinct structures to pass the needle through. Distinct differences appeared in the second sublevel of the task decomposition. Circular suturing was more complex than linear suturing, requiring more sub-tasks that were not necessary for the linear suture.

When comparing the robotic approach with the laparoscopic approach, the task decomposition showed that in many of the second-level subtasks, the robotic approach was less constrained than the laparoscopic approach. In the robotic approach, it was not necessary for the needle to be set as meticulously as in laparoscopy since the robot wrist motions can adapt easily to different angles. While there were notable differences in the content of the subtasks, the procedure ultimately remained very much the same.
Figure 4: HTA of a circular suturing task using the laparoscopic approach. There are seven first-level subtasks and 37 second-level subtasks included in the diagram, all of which are necessary to perform a circular suture using this approach.
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Figure 5: HTA of a circular suturing task using the robot-assisted surgical approach. The second level not only has 12 fewer subtasks than the laparoscopic approach, but the tasks are also simpler and less exigent.
Figure 6: HTA of a linear suturing task using the laparoscopic approach. There are 6 first-level subtasks and 32 second-level subtasks necessary in order to complete a linear suture using this approach.
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Figure 7: HTA of a linear suturing task using the robot-assisted surgical approach. This approach has 2 fewer subtasks than the laparoscopic approach and is lower in complexity in the “set the needle” task.

Cognitive Task Analysis
Tables 1-3 summarize the results of the cognitive task analysis. Task requirements and constraints were abstracted from the interviews and classified into two levels of abstraction: execution (skills) and planning. The execution or skill of the surgeon was further broken down into two more levels: motor movements and perception. Table 1 reveals the additional degrees of freedom that the robotic system afforded in manipulating tissue and orienting the needle. Table 2 reveals additional requirements for the circular suturing task, such as the changing orientation of the needle for each stitch, which align with the capabilities of the robotic system in Table 1. Finally, the need to visualize and plan extensively in circular suturing compared to linear suturing is summarized in Table 3. Notably, the placement of the stitches in circular suturing required mental imagery in planning, and constant adjustments during execution.

**Table 1. Comparing laparoscopic and robot-assisted suturing techniques.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laparoscopic</th>
<th>Robot-assisted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Few degrees of freedom – one axis of rotation</td>
<td>More degrees of freedom – wrist motion extremely helpful for needle orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better for linear sutures, circular sutures become more difficult with changing angles of insertion</td>
<td>Can easily adapt to linear or circular sutures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation of needle in grasping tool critical</td>
<td>Orientation of needle in grasping tool not as important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D view of surgical field lacking depth for circular suturing</td>
<td>High-definition and stereoscopic view of surgical field good for circular suturing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Comparing the execution tasks (motor movements) of linear and circular suturing.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Circular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle of insertion remains consistent</td>
<td>Angle of insertion changing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of needle the same for each stitch</td>
<td>Alignment and orientation of needle has to be varied precisely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy alignment, no concern with twisting or stretching</td>
<td>Different size circumference of openings complicates alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can most often use dominant hand to do majority of suture</td>
<td>Required to use left and right hand with same amount of dexterity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Comparing the planning tasks (perceptions) of linear and circular suturing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Circular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visualizing placement of suture based on last stitch/set measurement (i.e. 0.5 cm) is very simple</td>
<td>Placement of suture depends on size/shape of tissue and relative difference of size of openings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only have to use one needle</td>
<td>Using and monitoring two needles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can easily anticipate where needle emerges from tissue; mostly driving toward camera</td>
<td>Difficult to see where needle will emerge especially when driving needle away from camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can often be completed with one grasper, no alternative for manipulation around suture site</td>
<td>Passing and manoeuvring the needle with both left and right graspers – must decide when to switch and how</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

From the hierarchical task analysis alone, it is not clear why circular suturing is more difficult than linear suturing. Even though there are differences in the number of subtasks at the second level of task decomposition, the differences seem minor as the suturing tasks follow the same technique of needle insertion-needle pull through-suture pull through-repeat needle insertion. Similarly, whether the suturing is performed laparoscopically or with the robotic system, the steps and subtasks are similar, further confirming that these different approaches follow the same technique in performing a suturing task.

While the execution steps used in linear and circular suturing are essentially the same, the cognitive task analysis revealed marked differences at the execution and planning levels. As linear suturing involves working in one plane, the angle of needle insertion remains consistent for all stitches. In circular suturing, however, the angle of insertion changes with each subsequent stitch. This varying angle of the needle must vary with the tangent of the curve around the circular structure.

Additionally, in urethrovesical anastomosis, the two structures being sutured together have different circumference which complicates the alignment process. Linear sutures which often bring two pieces of tissue together in the same plane are easy to align without any stretching or twisting. In circular suturing, the surgeon must also be able to use both the left and right tools with the same amount of dexterity. A linear suture can often be completed entirely with one hand, while both hands are need to achieve multiple angles of the needle in circular suturing.

Not only is circular suturing more difficult in terms of motor control, but perceptual constraints also play a major role in how a circular suture is completed. In linear suturing, visualizing where the needle should be placed next, based on the position of the previous suture, is relatively easy. However, in the anastomosis task, the positioning of the structures, as well as the difference in size of the structures, makes it more difficult to determine where the next stitch should be placed. Circular suturing
most often involves using two needles and keeping track of these needles and sutures can become confusing. Additionally, visualizing these two needles around the circumference of the bladder neck can be difficult. As the surgeon has to drive the needle through the back of the bladder neck, away from the camera, to a point occluded by tissue, where the needle exits the tissue is often a matter of guessing.

The planning process throughout all of these steps also changes between linear and circular suturing. For example, the spacing of stitches in a linear suture can be predetermined based on the length of the suture, such as 5 mm. For a circular suture, the spacing is different on each of the two structures to be joined, due to their size difference. The corresponding stitches on the bladder neck and the urethra must align to ensure an even and tight closure. The passing and manipulating of the needle also require more planning and adjustments in a circular suture. While a linear suture can be conducted simply with one grasper, a circular suture requires the surgeon to decide when to switch directions, when to switch needles, and when to switch hands and grasps to maintain the optimal physical control over the process.

Clearly, many of these requirements are being addressed by the increased degrees of freedom in the surgical robot. Laparoscopic tools are very rigid compared to the robotic end-effectors; the wrist motion of the robotic tool allows for easier needle manipulation that is crucial in circular suturing. Laparoscopic instruments are adequate in linear suturing where the suture is only being applied across a single plane of tissue. However, in circular suturing where the plane of action is constantly changing, the wrist motion of the robotic tools allows the surgeon much more freedom. The setting of the needle in robot-assisted surgery is not as strict as it is in laparoscopic surgery because the wrist motion allows for rotation in different directions rather than just the one axis of rotation that the laparoscopic tools offer. Presumably, the increased degrees of freedom allow for more dexterity, hence usability (Chellali et al, 2014). Another major benefit of the robotic system is the stereoscopic view provided in the operational console. This stereoscopic view is useful in visualizing the circular structures. Laparoscopic screens display the surgical site in 2D only, not allowing the surgeon to have accurate depth perception within the surgical field. It is also possible that surgeons’ situation awareness may be limited by the 2D view. However, we did not examine this dimension of the problem.

Considerations for future work

What is not included in this analysis is the timeline of each approach for the suturing task. A separate timeline analysis, in combination with the task analysis, would more precisely reveal which subtask is time-consuming or which subtask is more difficult.

Current teaching materials for minimally invasive linear suturing may be adequate for teaching the order of steps when adapted for circular suturing. However, it is clear that there are additional perceptual and motoric requirements that need to be included in the training instructions. More explicit instructions can be developed for training, as well as for evaluation of performance in circular suturing.
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Conclusion
In both laparoscopic and robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery, circular suturing is considered a challenging task to teach and to learn. The joining of the bladder and urethra after a radical prostatectomy procedure is just one example of this type of task. In this study, analysis of four different intracorporeal suturing approaches was conducted through observations of live surgeries, interviews, and video review with expert surgeons. The results of this analysis revealed that circular suturing requires depth perception and proper alignment of two differently sized circular structures, as well as additional motoric manipulations of needle and tissue. Utilizing robotic techniques can mitigate some of these constraints by providing a stereoscopic view of the surgical field as well as increasing the manipulability of both the needle and tissue. The ability to use mental imagery during the planning phase seems to be an important factor in the success of the task. These findings will inform future design of training and assessment methods, and assistive technologies for surgical performance.
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